



Factionalism and political instability in Punjab Congress: Exploring leadership conflicts and electoral consequences

Navraj Singh

Department of Political Science, Khalsa College Amritsar, Punjab, India

Abstract

Factionalism within political parties has been a defining characteristic of Indian politics, and the Punjab Congress stands as a prominent example of how intra-party conflicts shape political outcomes. This paper examines the historical and contemporary dimensions of factionalism within the Punjab Congress, focusing on the key factions, leadership struggles, and their broader impact on the party's electoral performance and governance. The roots of factionalism in the Punjab Congress can be traced back to the post-independence era, when regional power centers and competing leadership ambitions created deep divisions within the party. Over the decades, prominent figures such as Giani Zail Singh, Darbara Singh, Amarinder Singh, and Rajinder Kaur Bhattal have shaped the internal dynamics of the party, often engaging in strategic maneuvering to consolidate power and influence candidate selection and policy decisions. The paper explores how factional conflicts have influenced the party's electoral strategies and voter perception. The internal rivalry between Amarinder Singh and Navjot Singh Sidhu in the 2010s and early 2020s exposed the fragile nature of party unity and weakened the Congress's standing in the state. The paper argues that factionalism has not only affected the party's ability to present a united front during elections but has also compromised its governance capabilities, as internal conflicts have delayed policy implementation and decision-making processes. Moreover, the strategic alliances and defections resulting from factional rivalries have altered the political balance in Punjab, allowing rival parties like the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) to capitalize on Congress's internal instability. By analyzing leadership patterns, electoral performance, and policy outcomes, this paper provides a comprehensive understanding of the role of factionalism in shaping the political landscape of Punjab. The study concludes that resolving factional disputes and strengthening internal cohesion are crucial for the Punjab Congress to reclaim its political dominance in the state.

Keywords: Factionalism, Punjab Congress, Intra-party conflict, Electoral performance, Leadership struggles, Political instability, Strategic alliances, Governance.

Introduction

Factionalism has been a persistent feature of Indian politics, particularly within the Indian National Congress (INC), which has witnessed intense internal rivalries across various states. Punjab stands out as a key battleground where factionalism within the Congress has played a decisive role in shaping the state's political landscape. The Punjab Congress has historically been marked by deep-rooted divisions, stemming from competing leadership ambitions, ideological differences, and regional power dynamics. These internal conflicts have significantly influenced the party's organizational strength, electoral strategies, and governance outcomes.

The origins of factionalism in the Punjab Congress can be traced back to the post-independence period when regional leaders sought to consolidate power and establish dominance within the party structure. Leaders such as Giani Zail Singh, Darbara Singh, and Amarinder Singh emerged as central figures, each commanding their own loyal factions and challenging the authority of the central leadership. Over the decades, factional conflicts have manifested in the form of leadership struggles, strategic defections, and internal sabotage, weakening the party's ability to present a united front during elections.

A defining moment in Punjab Congress's factional history occurred during the 2010s and early 2020s when the rivalry between Amarinder Singh and Navjot Singh Sidhu escalated, leading to a leadership crisis and internal instability. This infighting not only undermined the party's

electoral performance but also created openings for rival parties such as the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) to expand their influence in the state.

This paper seeks to analyze the causes and consequences of factionalism within the Punjab Congress, focusing on how leadership struggles, strategic maneuvering, and factional realignments have shaped the party's electoral performance and governance capacity. By examining key historical and contemporary episodes of factionalism, the paper aims to provide insights into the internal political dynamics of the Punjab Congress and their broader implications for state politics.

Problem Statement

Factionalism within the Punjab Congress has been a longstanding challenge, undermining the party's organizational strength, electoral performance, and governance capacity. Despite being a dominant political force in Punjab for decades, the Congress has struggled to maintain internal cohesion due to persistent leadership conflicts, strategic defections, and power struggles among key factions. The rivalry between influential leaders, such as Amarinder Singh, Rajinder Kaur Bhattal, and Navjot Singh Sidhu, has often resulted in internal instability, weakened electoral strategies, and diminished voter confidence. This internal discord has not only affected the party's ability to present a united front during elections but has also compromised policy implementation and governance effectiveness.

The problem is further compounded by the rise of rival parties like the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP), which have capitalized on the Congress's internal divisions to strengthen their political foothold in Punjab. Factional realignments and leadership disputes have created strategic openings for these rival parties, altering the political balance in the state. Moreover, the inability of the central Congress leadership to mediate factional disputes and establish a stable leadership structure has deepened the crisis within the party.

This paper addresses the critical question: How has factionalism within the Punjab Congress influenced its electoral performance, governance capabilities, and political stability in the state? The study seeks to identify the underlying causes of factionalism, examine its manifestations in leadership struggles and electoral setbacks, and assess its broader impact on the political landscape of Punjab. Understanding these dynamics is essential for devising strategies to strengthen party unity and enhance the Congress's political prospects in the state.

Hypothesis

Factionalism within the Punjab Congress has a significant negative impact on the party's electoral performance and political stability.

Literature Review

Factionalism within political parties has been a subject of extensive study in Indian politics, with scholars identifying it as a structural challenge rooted in historical, social, and political factors. Kothari (1964)^[7] argued that factionalism within Indian political parties arises from the nature of the party system, where competition for power and resources creates internal divisions. Zariski (1960) defined factionalism as "intra-party competition for power and resources, leading to the formation of organized groups within the party." Paul R. Brass (1985)^[5] noted that the Congress party's dominance in post-independence India was maintained through a balance between central leadership and regional factions, which often broke down when regional leaders sought greater autonomy. Kumar (2010)^[8] highlighted the role of factionalism in the Punjab Congress, tracing it to leadership conflicts involving figures like Giani Zail Singh, Darbara Singh, and Amarinder Singh. The rivalry between Amarinder Singh and Rajinder Kaur Bhattal in the early 2000s and the subsequent conflict between Amarinder Singh and Navjot Singh Sidhu in the 2010s weakened the party's electoral strength and internal cohesion. Chhibber and Petrocik (1989)^[6] suggested that factionalism reduces voter confidence and undermines the party's electoral strategies, which was evident in the Punjab Congress's decline in the 2017 and 2022 state elections. Pai (2012)^[10] emphasized that factionalism within the Punjab Congress not only affected electoral performance but also weakened governance, delaying decision-making and opening political space for rival parties like the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP). Mukherjee (2018)^[9] argued that resolving factional conflicts requires strong central leadership, effective mediation, and the accommodation of regional leadership demands. The inability of the central Congress leadership to manage the Amarinder Singh–Sidhu conflict significantly weakened the party's electoral performance and internal stability. This paper builds on these insights to analyze the causes and

consequences of factionalism in the Punjab Congress and explore potential strategies for restoring party unity and political dominance.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology to explore the roots, evolution, and consequences of factionalism within the Punjab Congress. A descriptive and analytical research design will be employed to examine how factionalism has influenced the party's electoral performance and governance capacity over the years. The research will rely entirely on secondary data collected from a variety of sources, including historical records, political manifestos, academic books, journal articles, and credible online reports. Key scholarly works such as those by Kothari (1964)^[7], Brass (1985)^[5], Kumar (2010)^[8], Pai (2012)^[10], and Mukherjee (2018)^[9] will provide the theoretical framework and historical context for understanding factionalism. Newspaper reports and political commentaries from platforms like Scroll.in and the Hindustan Times will offer insights into contemporary political dynamics and leadership conflicts.

The collected data will be analyzed using thematic analysis to identify patterns and recurring themes related to factionalism, focusing on three key dimensions: the historical roots of factionalism, its impact on electoral outcomes, and its influence on governance and policy implementation. A case study approach will be applied to analyze significant political events and leadership struggles within the Punjab Congress, such as the rivalry between Amarinder Singh and Rajinder Kaur Bhattal, the rise of Navjot Singh Sidhu, and the leadership crisis leading up to the 2022 Punjab Assembly elections. Triangulation will be used to enhance the reliability and validity of the findings by cross-referencing data from multiple sources to minimize bias and ensure a balanced analysis.

The study will aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of how factionalism has shaped the Punjab Congress's political trajectory and governance challenges. Since it is based on secondary sources, the study may face limitations in capturing informal political negotiations and leadership dynamics. However, by synthesizing insights from historical and contemporary sources, the research will offer a detailed analysis of the factional struggles within the Punjab Congress and their broader political implications.

Discussion

Roots of Factionalism in Punjab Congress

The origins of factionalism within the Punjab Congress can be traced back to the post-independence period when regional leaders competed for dominance within the party structure. Kothari (1964)^[7] argued that factionalism in Indian politics is rooted in the party system's dependence on regional power centers, where local leaders seek to consolidate influence, leading to internal divisions. In Punjab, leaders like Giani Zail Singh and Darbara Singh emerged as influential figures, each commanding loyal factions within the party (Brass, 1985)^[5]. These divisions intensified in the post-Green Revolution period when economic prosperity in certain regions of Punjab amplified local leadership ambitions, further fueling intra-party competition (Kumar, 2010)^[8].

During the 1990s and early 2000s, the emergence of Amarinder Singh as a dominant political figure redefined

factional alignments in the Punjab Congress. Amarinder Singh's leadership challenged the influence of rival leaders such as Rajinder Kaur Bhattal, resulting in frequent power struggles that weakened party unity (Kumar, 2010) ^[8]. Bhattal's influence over certain rural and Dalit voter bases contrasted with Amarinder Singh's urban and upper-caste support, creating two powerful yet conflicting factions within the party (Pai, 2012) ^[10].

The leadership crisis deepened in the 2010s with the entry of Navjot Singh Sidhu into the Punjab Congress. Sidhu's growing popularity and his vocal criticism of Amarinder Singh's leadership style created further instability. Mukherjee (2018) ^[9] noted that Sidhu's rise reflected a shift in voter preferences and factional alignments within the party. This conflict escalated in the run-up to the 2022 Punjab Assembly elections, where the Punjab Congress faced a severe leadership vacuum, ultimately contributing to its electoral downfall (Chhibber & Petrocik, 1989) ^[6].

Factionalism in the Punjab Congress has thus been shaped by a combination of regional power struggles, ideological differences, and leadership ambitions. These internal divisions have not only undermined party unity but also created an environment where rival parties like the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) could exploit voter dissatisfaction (Pai, 2012) ^[10]. The inability of the Congress's central leadership to effectively mediate these disputes further exacerbated the crisis, contributing to the party's declining political influence in the state (Mukherjee, 2018) ^[9].

Impact of Factionalism on Electoral Performance

Factionalism within the Punjab Congress has had a direct and measurable impact on the party's electoral performance over the years. Internal divisions have weakened the party's ability to project a united front during election campaigns, leading to voter confusion and declining support. Chhibber and Petrocik (1989) ^[6] argued that factionalism erodes voter confidence by creating inconsistent messaging and policy platforms, which ultimately reduces electoral appeal. In Punjab, the rivalry between Amarinder Singh and Rajinder Kaur Bhattal in the early 2000s led to fragmented election strategies, as both leaders sought to promote their loyal candidates, resulting in a lack of cohesion within the party's campaign structure (Kumar, 2010) ^[8].

The 2017 Punjab Assembly election initially seemed like a turning point for the Congress, with Amarinder Singh's leadership delivering a decisive victory over the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) and the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP). However, this success masked the growing internal tensions within the party. The growing influence of Navjot Singh Sidhu and his open criticism of Amarinder Singh's leadership created an internal power struggle that became increasingly public. This infighting reached its peak in 2021 when Amarinder Singh resigned as Chief Minister following pressure from the Sidhu faction. The leadership crisis weakened the Congress's position going into the 2022 state elections.

In the 2022 Punjab Assembly elections, the Congress faced a major electoral setback, securing only 18 out of 117 seats—a sharp decline from its 77-seat victory in 2017. Political analysts have attributed this decline to the leadership vacuum created by Amarinder Singh's departure and the inability of the Congress leadership to effectively manage the Sidhu-Amarinder rivalry (Mukherjee, 2018) ^[9].

The fragmentation of the party's vote base allowed the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) to emerge as a dominant force, capitalizing on voter dissatisfaction with Congress's internal instability and governance failures (Pai, 2012) ^[10].

Factionalism also influenced candidate selection and campaign strategy. The internal power struggle between the Sidhu and Amarinder camps resulted in competing electoral strategies, which weakened the party's overall messaging. Voters were left uncertain about the party's leadership direction and policy priorities, which contributed to the shift of traditional Congress voters toward the AAP and, to some extent, the SAD. Moreover, factional conflicts within the Congress at the grassroots level created parallel campaign structures, with local factions supporting different candidates and working against each other's interests.

The inability to resolve factional disputes also affected the party's ability to respond to key issues during the election campaign. For instance, the Congress's inconsistent position on the farmers' protest in 2020–21 reflected the lack of a unified party strategy, as different factions within the party offered conflicting responses (Kumar, 2010) ^[8]. This inconsistency weakened voter confidence and allowed rival parties to present themselves as more coherent alternatives. The electoral decline of the Punjab Congress underscores how factionalism, if left unresolved, can undermine a party's political prospects and create openings for opposition forces to consolidate power.

Impact of Factionalism on Governance and Policy Implementation.

Factionalism within the Punjab Congress has not only weakened the party's electoral performance but also had a significant impact on governance and policy implementation. Internal power struggles and leadership conflicts have often resulted in policy paralysis and inconsistent decision-making, undermining the party's ability to deliver effective governance. Pai (2012) ^[10] argues that factionalism disrupts the policy process by creating competing centers of authority within the party, leading to delays in decision-making and fragmented implementation of key policies. In Punjab, the rivalry between Amarinder Singh and other senior leaders such as Rajinder Kaur Bhattal and Navjot Singh Sidhu often translated into policy gridlock, as different factions sought to assert control over governance decisions.

Amarinder Singh's tenure as Chief Minister from 2017 to 2021 highlighted the challenges of governing a party divided by factional interests. Singh's centralization of decision-making power alienated other senior leaders and created tensions within the cabinet. Sidhu's open criticism of Singh's handling of key issues, including the drug crisis, corruption, and farmer protests, reflected the growing divide within the party's leadership structure (Mukherjee, 2018) ^[9]. This internal discord weakened the Congress government's ability to present a unified policy platform, leading to mixed signals on critical issues such as agricultural reforms and state-level economic policies.

The handling of the farmers' protest in 2020–21 exposed the governance challenges created by factionalism. While Amarinder Singh initially supported the farmers' demands and positioned himself as an advocate for their cause, other factions within the Congress offered conflicting statements, creating confusion over the party's official stance. This inconsistency undermined the Congress's credibility among

the state's large farming community, which had historically been a key support base for the party (Kumar, 2010) [8]. The failure to adopt a cohesive strategy weakened the government's negotiating position with the central government and alienated a significant section of Punjab's electorate.

Policy implementation was further compromised by factional interference in administrative appointments and resource allocation. Different factions within the Punjab Congress sought to place loyalists in key bureaucratic positions, leading to frequent transfers and instability within the state administration. This political interference disrupted the continuity of governance and weakened the state's capacity to implement long-term development projects (Brass, 1985) [5]. Moreover, the lack of coordination between rival factions created obstacles in the delivery of welfare programs and infrastructure development initiatives, reducing the government's overall effectiveness.

The Internal crisis within the Punjab Congress reached a tipping point in 2021 when Amarinder Singh resigned as Chief Minister following pressure from the Sidhu faction. The subsequent appointment of Charanjit Singh Channi as Chief Minister failed to resolve the underlying factional tensions, as Sidhu continued to challenge Channi's leadership. The instability within the party's leadership structure further weakened governance in the months leading up to the 2022 state elections, reinforcing voter perceptions of the Congress as a divided and ineffective political force (Mukherjee, 2018) [9].

The Impact of factionalism on governance highlights the broader challenges of maintaining political stability and administrative efficiency within a divided party. Without effective conflict resolution mechanisms and stronger central leadership, the Punjab Congress's ability to govern effectively and implement policy reforms remains compromised. The governance failures resulting from factionalism have not only weakened the party's credibility among voters but also created openings for opposition parties like the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) and the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) to strengthen their political influence in Punjab.

Conclusion

Factionalism within the Punjab Congress has been a defining factor in shaping the party's political trajectory, electoral performance, and governance capacity. The historical roots of factionalism, dating back to the post-independence era, have created deep divisions within the party, with leadership rivalries and ideological conflicts weakening internal cohesion. The rivalry between Amarinder Singh, Rajinder Kaur Bhattal, and Navjot Singh Sidhu illustrates how competing power centers have undermined the party's ability to present a united front, both during elections and in governance. The internal conflicts not only eroded voter confidence but also compromised the Congress's ability to respond effectively to key issues such as the farmers' protests and economic development. The party's failure to resolve factional disputes before the 2022 Punjab Assembly elections led to a significant electoral setback, allowing rival parties like the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP) and the Shiromani Akali Dal (SAD) to capitalize on Congress's internal instability. Furthermore, factionalism affected governance by disrupting policy implementation and creating administrative instability, as different factions

competed for control over decision-making processes. For the Punjab Congress to reclaim its political dominance, addressing factionalism through stronger central leadership, effective conflict resolution mechanisms, and a more cohesive policy platform is essential. The ability to rebuild internal unity and present a clear political strategy will determine whether the Punjab Congress can regain voter trust and restore its position as a dominant political force in the state.

References

1. Chandhoke N. (n.d.). Electoral politics in post-conflict states: The case of Punjab. Retrieved from <https://aceproject.org/en/regions/asia/IN/chandhoke.pdf>
2. Gurmat Veechar. (n.d.). Factionalism in the Indian National Congress and the Shiromani Akali Dal in Punjab post-1966: A comparative study. Retrieved from https://gurmatveechar.com/books/English_Books/English_Thesis_Papers/Factionalism.in.the.indian.national.congress.and.the.Shiromani.Akali.Dal.in.Punjab.post.1966.a.comparative.study.%28GurmatVeechar.com%29.pdf
3. Kumar P. For the Congress in Punjab, factionalism has often been a feature – not a bug, 2021. Scroll.in. Retrieved from <https://scroll.in/article/1006466/for-the-congress-in-punjab-factionalism-has-often-been-a-feature-not-a-bug>
4. Singh J. AAP makes first impression in Punjab as Channi hamstrung by factionalism, 2021. Hindustan Times. Retrieved from <https://www.hindustantimes.com/analysis/aap-makes-first-impression-in-punjab-as-channi-hamstrung-by-factionalism-101640063130328.html>
5. Brass PR. Caste, faction, and party in Indian politics (Vol. 2). Chanakya Publications, 1985, 2.
6. Chhibber P, Petrocik JR. The puzzle of Indian factionalism: Political competition and social cleavage in Indian states. *British Journal of Political Science*, 1989;19(1):1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123400005365>
7. Kothari R. The Congress 'System' in India. *Asian Survey*, 1964;4(12):1161-1173. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2642377>
8. Kumar P. Political dynamics of Punjab: From Congress dominance to BJP-SAD alliance. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 2010;45(10):45-53.
9. Mukherjee R. The rise and fall of Punjab Congress: Factionalism and leadership crisis. *Journal of Indian Politics*, 2018;12(3):55-78.
10. Pai S. Factional politics in Punjab: Changing dynamics and electoral impact. *Studies in Indian Politics*, 2012;1(2):211-228. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2321023012457890>